Remediation Cost Estimate Summary GM – IFG Syracuse MLC ID 1010

October 30, 2009 Revised May 2010 Revised March 2011

Table of Contents

March 2011 Revisions	3
Background Information	***************************************
Real Estate Information	3
Environmental History	4
Current Environmental Issues	5
Remediation Scope of Work and Cost Estimate	8
Regulatory Requirements	13
Project Schedule and Estimated Cost	13
Remediation Cost Estimate Summary Spreadsheet	14
Appendix A - Notes and Calculations	

March 2011 Revisions

The May 2010 version of this document was based on the remedial actions and implementation schedules derived from discussions between MLC and the governmental agencies at that time. Edits to the following document reflect changes subsequently incorporated in the final Environmental Remediation Trust Consent Order and Settlement Agreement filed in the bankruptcy court in October 2010. Among these changes were the assumption that the building would remain in use indefinitely and that the remediation of upper Ley Creek would be included.

Background Information

The Brown-Lipe-Chapin Division of General Motors Corporation (formerly GM, now Motors Liquidation Company MLC]) began operations at the Inland Fisher Guide (IFG) facility in 1952. Due to mergers among GM divisions, the facility operated as the Brown-Lipe-Chapin Division from 1952 until 1968, the Fisher Body Division from 1968 until 1984, the Fisher Guide Division from 1984 until 1989, and the IFG Division of GM from 1989 until on-site manufacturing operations ceased in 1993. Historically, the site was used for the manufacture of metal automotive components and plastic auto parts. Operations included plating, die casting, sheet metal pressing, injection molding, and painting.

Currently, the site covers approximately 65 acres and includes the main 800,000-square-foot former manufacturing plant building, a former Powerhouse, a formal industrial wastewater treatment plant (WWTP), a former mold storage building, a former bulk rail delivery handling building, and other facilities, including an active stormwater/groundwater treatment building. The site has been redeveloped as an industrial park, with multiple tenants performing various types of manufacturing.

Selected background information is provided below:

Site Location 1000 Town Line Rd. (aka 1 General Motors Drive) Syracuse NY 13206 MLC Site ID 1010

USEPA ID Number USEPA ID. No. NYD002239440

NYSDEC ID Number NYSDEC Registry No. 7-34-057

Real Estate Information

The following is a summary of selected real estate information for this site:

Current Land Use - Industrial

Zoning - Industrial

Building and Improvements – A manufacturing building, a Powerhouse, a former industrial waste treatment building, a mold storage building, a bulk rail car building, and other facilities

Size, Age, Condition - Main plant is 800,000-square feet, 57 years old, recently redeveloped

Infrastructure - Power, water, sewer

Environmental History

From 1981 to 2009, GM conducted several investigations addressing specific environmental media primarily impacted with polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and metals in response to regulatory requirements and consent orders. Collectively, GM has extensively investigated the sources and extent of contamination attributable to the IFG facility, and implemented several interim remedial measures (IRMs) on site and off site.

On August 12, 1985, the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) and GM entered into an Administrative Order on Consent (Case# 7-0383) to address the discharge of constituents in facility process wastewater and stormwater into Ley Creek. GM subsequently redirected process water to the county publically owned treatment works system and provided treatment of stormwater under a State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) permit.

On February 16, 1986, the NYSDEC and GM entered into an Administrative Order on Consent (R7-0002-85-05) to investigate and remediate a paint thinner spill in an underground storage tank (UST) area. GM ultimately installed and continues to operate two groundwater recovery trenches to remediate this spill.

In 1988, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) conducted the first site-wide inquiry into site contamination, the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) site inspection, which identified a number of solid waste management units and Areas of Concern.

In 1994, the USEPA and the NYSDEC issued a Joint Demand for Information under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) and related state statutory authority. GM responded to the joint demand on September 29, 1994, and to a supplemental demand for information on February 2, 1995. Subsequently, in a letter dated June 23, 1997, the USEPA and NYSDEC notified GM that the former IFG Facility and the Ley Creek PCB Dredgings Site (MLC ID 1110) would be designated as part of the Onondaga Lake

National Priorities List (NPL) site based on the confirmed hazardous substance contamination at the site (PCBs, VOCs, and heavy metals) and the proximity of these substances to the NPL site.

On September 25, 1997, the NYSDEC and GM entered into an Administrative Order on Consent (Index # D-7-0001-97-06), which required GM to conduct a remedial investigation and feasibility study (RI/FS) to develop an understanding of the nature and extent of site contamination and evaluate potential remediation alternatives. The former IFG Facility was classified by the NYSDEC as a Class 2 Site in the New York State (NYS) Registry of Inactive Hazardous Waste Disposal Sites (Registry; Site No. 7-34-057). The NYSDEC also redefined the site in this Consent Order to include an area of groundwater, surface water, and sediment in Ley Creek, formerly associated with another site on the NYS Registry (7-34-044) called the Ley Creek PCB Dredgings Site. The NYSDEC designated the additional area to be investigated by GM as Ley Creek Deferred Media. The Ley Creek Deferred Media and the IFG facility property comprise the "site" subject to the Consent Order.

Numerous environmental investigations have been conducted at the site to characterize soil, groundwater, surface-water, and sediment conditions. At least 25 environmental field investigations, which have included surface and subsurface soil, groundwater, surface-water, and sediment sampling, have been conducted by GM and the NYSDEC to investigate and characterize site conditions, including off-site impacts.

Based upon the results of these field investigations and subsequent regulatory and/or Consent Order requirements, the following remedial actions were conducted at the site:

Underground hydraulic oil sumps and tanks were abandoned or removed.

Eight oil/water collection sumps were installed in the vicinity of the former storm sewer system.

A groundwater remediation system was installed to address toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene in the groundwater near a leaking UST.

Contaminated soils from two surface impoundments were excavated and disposed off site.

Approximately 18,000 tons of PCB-contaminated soil was excavated.

Infiltration of contaminated groundwater into the storm sewer system was addressed.

A former drainage swale contaminated with PCBs, trichloroethene (TCE), chlorobenzene, and metals above the NYSDEC Technical and Administrative Guidance Manual levels was excavated and disposed off site.

Groundwater treatment from the paint thinner area has been ongoing since 1985.

Additional investigations were conducted and an IRM has been initiated to address surface and subsurface impacts related to the former landfill. Remedial measures at the former May 2010, Revised March 2011
Page 5 of 14

landfill included hot spot soil/material excavation and capping with a high-density polyethylene liner, and either asphalt or vegetative cover.

Current Environmental Issues

The primary contaminants present at the site include PCBs; benzene; toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (BTEX); chlorinated VOCs (primarily TCE); and metals. Soil contamination is managed through engineering and institutional controls (cover system comprised of soil cap, asphalt, and buildings). Soil disruptions require, at a minimum, notification to the NYSDEC and implementation of NYSDEC-approved soils management procedures. Stormwater is collected and treated in an on-site treatment system. Groundwater contaminants associated with historic manufacturing operations are distributed within shallow and deep aquifer systems. Remediation of groundwater is currently incomplete.

Stormwater

Stormwater is currently managed through a network of storm drains routed to a retention basin at the northern end of the site. Water is pumped from the retention basin through an on-site treatment facility before being discharged to Ley Creek in accordance with a SPDES permit. A permitted overflow from the retention basin also discharges to Ley Creek during peak storm periods. All other (historic) outfalls have been removed or permanently sealed in place.

Shallow Aquifer Zone

Chlorinated VOCs, primarily TCE and its degradation products (cis-1,2-dichloroethylene [cis-1,2-DCE] and vinyl chloride), are present above NYS Class GA standards in the shallow aquifer zone. TCE has been detected up to 25,000 micrograms per liter (μ g/L) in a well located beneath the manufacturing building. The contaminated zone extends from the manufacturing building and/or former tank farm building towards the north/northeast, in the direction of shallow and deep groundwater flow towards Ley Creek.

BTEX is present in the shallow aquifer zone, primarily in the area of the former paint thinner USTs. Sampling conducted in 1999 as part of the Supplemental RI (2000) identified total BTEX concentrations up to 192,400 μ g/L. The current paint thinner area groundwater recovery system consists of two trench recovery systems intercepting and collecting BTEX-impacted groundwater for on-site treatment prior to discharge into Ley Creek pursuant to a SPDES permit.

PCBs are present at concentrations exceeding the NYS Class GA standard (0.09 g/L) in the shallow aquifer zone at locations throughout the site, extending from the southern portion of the manufacturing building and/or industrial WWTP to beyond the northern property boundary. Concentrations of PCBs, primarily Aroclors 1242 and 1248, detected in the shallow aquifer zone, have historically ranged up to 18 g/L.

Remediation Cost Estimate Summary - MLC ID 1010 May 2010, Revised March 2011 Page 6 of 14

Deep Aquifer Zone and VOC Source Area

Chlorinated VOCs, primarily TCE and its degradation products (cis-1,2-DCE and vinyl chloride), are present at concentrations exceeding NYS Class GA standards in the deep aquifer zone. TCE has been detected in the deep aquifer zone at concentrations as high as 170,000 g/L at locations north of the manufacturing building. The detected concentrations and subsurface topography of the deep aquifer zone indicated the possible presence of dense non-aqueous phase liquid (DNAPL) in the deep aquifer zone, although this has never been confirmed during RIs nor has DNAPL ever been encountered downgradient of the manufacturing building. Groundwater monitoring results indicate that the chlorinated VOC plume within the deep aquifer zone extends to and beyond the northern property boundary.

PCBs are also present at concentrations exceeding the NYS Class GA standard in the deep aquifer zone at locations throughout the site and beyond the northern property boundary. Concentrations of PCBs, primarily Aroclors 1242 and 1248, detected in the deep aquifer zone have historically ranged up to 3 g/L.

Vapor Intrusion Mitigation

In 2005, the NYSDEC requested the investigation of the potential existence and extent of soil gas associated with groundwater containing constituents of concern under and within the former manufacturing building. Since 2005, three rounds of sub-slab vapor and indoor air investigations have been implemented (March 2006, March 2007, and October 2009). Comparison of the sampling analytical results to New York State Department of Health's (NYSDOH's) October 2006 Guidance for Evaluating Soil Vapor Intrusion in the State of New York (NYSDOH, 2006), indicates that TCE concentrations in some samples of indoor air were greater than 5 micrograms per cubic meters (µg/m³) (guidance value), and TCE concentrations in some sub-slab vapor samples were greater than 250 µg/m³ (guidance value).

In addition to sampling performed in the manufacturing building, the following activities have been conducted in connection with potential vapor intrusion conditions at the facility:

A building survey in 2006 focused on the condition of the building envelope and interior walls and concluded that interior air spaces are in constant communication.

Fact sheets were provided to building tenants in 2006 and 2010 notifying tenants of ongoing vapor intrusion investigations and providing sampling results.

Plugging of drain holes in the facility floor and the sealing of sump covers.

Diagnostic sub-slab communication tests in 2008.

Risk evaluations in 2007 and 2008 to assess risk to commercial and industrial tenants.

Vapor intrusion evaluation in 2008 to summarize findings or prior assessments and evaluate potential site-specific vapor mitigation technologies

Remediation Cost Estimate Summary - MLC ID 1010 May 2010, Revised March 2011

Page 7 of 14

Based on a letter to GM, dated May 21, 2009, from the NYSDEC, vapor mitigation measures will be required for the manufacturing building based on findings of TCE above guidance values.

Remedial Investigations and Feasibility Studies

Pursuant to the Administrative Order on Consent Index # D-7-0001-97-06, a Preliminary RI/FS Report that summarized environmental conditions based on historical data collected for the site was submitted to the NYSDEC on October 24, 1997. Following the collection of additional environmental data, a Supplemental RI Report for the site was submitted to the NYSDEC on April 20, 2000. Additional work to complete the RI/FS, including the risk assessment (human health and ecological), is ongoing.

As-Needed IRMs Associated with Soils Management Requirements

"As-Needed IRMS" are associated with the owner's obligation, under an Addendum to the NYSDEC Administrative Order on Consent D-7-0001-97-06 (November 16, 1999) to clean interior surfaces and implement soil and materials management and disposal whenever the building interior, floor slab, or subsurface soils are disturbed, to address the presence of certain contaminants, including PCBs. Specifically, the addendum allows activities associated with site redevelopment to proceed in a manner that is consistent with 6 New York Codes, Rules, and Regulation Part 375 and the Consent Order. These activities include cleaning of lease space floors, walls, ceilings, and structures prior to occupancy under NYSDEC-approved IRM Work Plans. Restricted activities, such as floor and wall penetrations, and soil disturbance must also be performed in accordance with approved IRM Work Plans and require notification to the NYSDEC, monitoring during the activity, proper handling and disposal of contaminated materials, and reporting. Since 1999, numerous IRMs have been completed in the normal course of tenant build out, building repair, underground utility work, and related disruption to managed (epoxy coated) building surfaces and exterior cover systems.

Remediation Scope of Work and Cost Estimate

This "Remediation Scope of Work and Cost Estimate" summarizes the discussions and agreements between MLC and applicable environmental regulatory agencies in connection with the plan of reorganization or liquidation for MLC, including the establishment of a post-confirmation trust to complete remediation. The objectives of this Remediation Scope of Work and Cost Estimate are to: i) describe activities and associated, assumed costs that are focused on MLC's goal of bringing the site to regulatory closure within the timeframes indicated in the accompanying "Project Schedule" table; and/or ii) describe any necessary long-term operation, maintenance, and monitoring (OMM) tasks and associated, assumed costs that may be required for maintaining an environmentally protective remedy for the specified timeframe.

The scope of work presented below is based on the assumptions concerning conditions, rates, other costs, and other variables stated herein and in referenced documents. Significant variances from these assumptions may result, if more favorable, in reductions in scope and/or costs, and if less favorable, in increased or different scope and/or costs.

Remediation Cost Estimate Summary - MLC ID 1010 May 2010, Revised March 2011 Page 8 of 14 Several remedial action activities have already been completed at the site; therefore, ongoing OMM activities associated with these completed remedial action activities will continue (i.e., stormwater/groundwater treatment system and landfill cap OMM). In addition to the ongoing OMM activities, the future design and remediation activities anticipated for the site will include finalization of the RI/FS and risk assessment, vapor intrusion mitigation, construction of a subsurface barrier wall and groundwater collection trench, in-situ groundwater treatment in source areas for VOCs, and "As-Needed IRM." The remediation cost estimate that has been developed for this site reflects these OMM, design, and remediation activities, and are described in more detail below.

The remediation cost estimate for this site in current dollars (2009) is \$52,799,931. This cost is based on a total Estimated Baseline/Engineering Cost of \$48,743,972 and a contingency of \$3,289,318 (ranging between 0 and 25 percent for selected tasks), as well as an Agency Oversight cost of \$4,055,959. The Remediation Cost Estimate Summary spreadsheet provides a year-by-year breakdown of costs for each task included in this estimate. In addition, Appendix A includes a more detailed cost breakdown that supports these estimates.

Stormwater and Groundwater Treatment and Discharge

Site stormwater and remediation groundwater are currently treated using the on-site treatment facility operating in accordance with a SPDES permit. Additional groundwater flow of approximately 10 gallons per minute will be added to the influent for treatment after the downgradient groundwater collection system is installed, which is conceptually described under the slurry wall and collection trench system task below. The scope of work for the stormwater and groundwater treatment OMM includes the continued operation of the current system plus the additional extraction and treatment of groundwater for the future downgradient system. The baseline cost to operate this system is based on current operating costs, adjusted to handle the increase in VOC loading to the carbon treatment media, and the additional cost to operate the additional groundwater extraction pump system. Following completion of subsurface in-situ VOC treatment, it is assumed that the groundwater collection system for the thinner area will no longer be operated, reducing total treatment system influent flows to current volumes.

The annual Estimated Baseline/Engineering Costs for performing these OMM activities include the following:

```
$122,000 per year for 2 years (2010 through 2011, $244,000)

$162,000 per year for 4 years (2012 through 2015, $648,000)

$130,000 for six years (2016 through 2021, $780,000)

$122,000 per year for 88 years (2022 through 2109, $10.736,000)
```

The total undiscounted life cycle cost for this activity is estimated at \$12,408,000, should this entire scope of work be implemented between 2010 and 2109. The need to perform these OMM activities over a 100-year period has yet to be determined and may be reduced if site VOC

Remediation Cost Estimate Summary - MLC ID 1010 May 2010, Revised March 2011 Page 9 of 14 concentrations demonstrate a consistent downward trend over time. Thus, a portion of this expenditure remains as a potential cost that may not be incurred and is subject to further evaluation.

Vapor Intrusion Mitigation

A vapor intrusion mitigation system will be designed, installed, and operated to reduce the levels of VOCs detected in indoor air space, specifically attributed to sub-slab vapors. O'Brien and Gere (OBG) has proposed additional pre-design investigations to develop the specific mitigation measures intended to meet NYSDOH guidance values. The scope of work for vapor intrusion mitigation includes pre-design testing, installation of a full scale sub-slab depressurization system for the former manufacturing building, and operation of the system until 2109. The Estimated Baseline/Engineering Cost for this activity includes the following:

Pilot Test - \$316,000 (2009 through 2010)

Capital Cost for Vapor Intrusion Mitigation System - \$1,841,604 (2010 through 2011)

Annual Cost for OMM - \$239,000 per year for 2 years (2012 through 2013, \$478,000)

Annual Cost for OMM - \$98,000 per year for -96 years (2014 through 2109, \$9,408,000)

The total undiscounted life cycle cost for this activity is estimated at \$12,043,604, should this entire scope of work be implemented between 2009 and 2109. The need to perform these OMM activities over a 100-year period has yet to be determined and may be reduced if site VOC concentrations demonstrate a consistent downward trend over time or reuse of the building is eliminated. Thus, a portion of this expenditure remains as a potential cost that may not be incurred and is subject to further evaluation.

Completion of RI/FS, Risk Assessment and Off-Site Remediation

The scope of work for completing the site RI/FS is limited to the areas defined in the September 1997 Administrative Order on Consent (Index # D-7-0001-97-06) and includes the completion of the nature and extent of contamination evaluation, risk assessment, and final FS activities. No significant additional on-site investigation is required because most of the nature and extent investigations have been completed and summarized in the supplemental RI Report (April 2000). Completion of this work is required before the NYSDEC can issue a Record of Decision (ROD) for the site. The Estimated Baseline/Engineering Cost for the RI/FS is \$285,021 and was completed between 2009 and 2010.

Remediation Cost Estimate Summary - MLC 1D 1010 May 2010, Revised March 2011 Page 10 of 14

Upper Ley Creek

This subtask includes the completion of the RI/FS and remediation of the impacted sediments in the portion of Ley Creek and adjacent floodplain upstream of the Route 11 bridge to the IFG outfall, and the treed wetland along the south side of Factory Avenue, adjacent to the northwest corner of the IFG property. The Estimated Baseline/Engineering Cost for the off-site RI/FS is \$359, 979 and is anticipated to be completed between 2010 and 2011. The Estimated Baseline/Engineering Cost for the off-site remediation is \$8,168,875, and is shown in the estimate to be incurred in 2012.

The total undiscounted life cycle cost for these efforts is \$8,813,875 and is anticipated to be completed between 2009 and 2012.

Slurry Wall and Collection Trench

A downgradient hydraulic control system has been proposed as the permanent remedial action for groundwater within the site boundaries, downgradient of the manufacturing building, and associated source areas. The scope of work for this remedial action is the installation of an effective groundwater control system for the extraction and treatment of on-site VOC and PCB-impacted groundwater. The control system is intended to prevent the migration of contaminated groundwater to off-site receptors, including Ley Creek. Although the specific groundwater control system has not been selected or designed, the cost estimate is based on an assumed 1,800 linear foot soil-bentonite slurry wall (2.5 feet wide) at an average depth of 33 feet below ground surface (bgs), and an adjacent 1,800 linear foot groundwater collection trench installed with a bottom drain system and using a biopolymer trench method to an average depth of 30 feet bgs.

The Estimated Baseline/Engineering Cost for the design and construction of the slurry wall and collection trench is \$2,472,670 and is anticipated to be completed between 2011 and 2012. The design of the slurry wall and collection trench has yet to be determined, and thus, this expenditure remains as a potential cost that is subject to further evaluation.

Subsurface In-Situ VOC Source Treatment

The scope of work for VOC impacted soil remediation is assumed to be in-situ chemical oxidation using sodium persulfate solution injections to treat approximately 16,000 cubic yards of VOC-impacted saturated soils. This assumes that a network of up to 65 injection wells will be installed, an initial injection in all injection wells is required, and a secondary injection in 75 percent of the wells will occur.

The Estimated Baseline/Engineering Cost for designing and implementing this in-situ VOC source treatment is \$1,205,000 and is scheduled to be completed between 2011 and 2013. The need for this remedial action has yet to be determined, and thus, this expenditure remains as a potential cost that may not be incurred and is subject to further evaluation.

Surface Soil IRM and Landfill Cap OMM

The scope of work for this remedial activity includes excavation and off-site disposal of an assumed 20 cubic yards of PCB-containing soil, which was previously delineated by OBG, and ongoing OMM of the landfill cap (comprised of asphalt and grass vegetated surfaces). Currently, the landfill cap is managed as general routine property maintenance. The asphalt surface consists of an access driveway from Factory Avenue and a parking area constructed and maintained for tenant use. The rest of the cap is vegetated with grass, which is regularly mowed. Similar OMM activities will continue under this scope of work.

The Estimated Baseline/Engineering Cost for the surface soil IRM is \$21,506 and is anticipated to be completed in 2011. The annual Estimated Baseline/Engineering Cost for performing the OMM activities is \$10,000 per year for 99 years (2011 through 2109, \$990,000).

The total undiscounted life cycle cost for this activity is estimated at \$1,011,506, should this entire scope of work be implemented between 2010 and 2109. The need to perform the OMM activities over a 100-year period has yet to be determined and may be reduced if site conditions maintain consistent stability. Thus, a portion of this expenditure remains as a potential cost that may not be incurred and is subject to further evaluation.

As-Needed IRMs and Removal of Impacted Soil under Slabs after Demolition

The scope of work for this remedial category is based on the required IRMs associated with slab penetrations and subsurface penetrations encountering either contaminated concrete slab or soil. These IRMs, typically resulting in removal and off-site disposal of impacted materials, will be performed as needed to support tenant build out or underground utility repair. Costs are based on historic average annual expenses for prior as-needed IRMs, pursuant to the NYSDEC-approved IRM plans. The annual Estimated Baseline/Engineering Cost for this activity is \$11,506 in 2009, \$13,049 in 2010, \$175,444 in 2011 and \$100,000 per year for 48 years (2012 through 2059, \$4,800,000) and \$50,000 per year for 50 years (2060 through 2109, \$2,500,000).

The total undiscounted life cycle cost for this activity is estimated at \$7,499,999, should this entire scope of work be implemented between 2009 and 2109. The need to perform these remedial activities has yet to be determined, and thus, this expenditure remains as a potential cost that may not be incurred and is subject to further evaluation.

Regulatory Requirements

The regulatory requirements for the site include the following:

NYSDEC Administrative Order on Consent (Index #7-0383), August 12, 1985

NYSDEC Administrative Order on Consent (Index # R7-0002-85-05), February 16, 1986

NYSDEC Administrative Order on Consent (Index # D-7-0001-97-06), September 17, 1997

NYSDEC Addendum to Administrative Order on Consent (Index # D-7-0001-97-06), November 16, 1999

Project Schedule and Estimated Cost

The project schedule and estimated cost for the anticipated work to be performed is presented below.

Timeframe	Event	Responsibility	Estimated Baseline/Engineering Cost ^{1,2}
2010 to 2109	Stormwater and Groundwater Treatment and Discharge	MLC	\$12,408,000
2009 to 2109	Vapor Intrusion Mitigation	MLC	\$12,043,604
2009	Completion of Onsite RI/FS and Risk Assessment	MLC	\$285,021
2010 to 2012	Off site RI/FS and Remediation	MLC	\$8,528,854
2011 to 2012	Slurry Wall and Collection Trench	MLC	\$2,472,,670
2011 to 2013	Subsurface In-Situ VOC Source Treatment	MLC	\$1,205,000
2009 to 2109	Surface Soil IRM and Landfill Cap O&M	MLC	\$1,011,506
2009 to 2109	As-Needed IRMs and Impacted Soil Removal Under Slabs		\$7,499,999

Notes:

1. Contingency not included in cost table.

Agency oversight cost not included. As shown below in the Remediation Cost Estimate Summary, the Agency
oversight cost for each year assumed 10 percent of the sum of the total Estimated Baseline/Engineering Cost for the
year and the specified contingency amount.

)						R	emediation cost es	mate Si	omacy	•					
										arcoerface (note: VOC Sperce						
		Strang Water &				Completion of Onlite	r			Treatment Thanser and				An Needed Sols Management III No.		
Year		Groundsmet Treatment and Usefierge		Napor intrastes Missattes	Š	R/F2, Risk Assessment and Off-Site Remediation		Sturry Wat and Collection Trench		Larger TCS Area When Building Slab is Removed		Surface Soft BM and Load Bit Cop D&M		Resource of imported Sewers Under State after Demolytop		Acents Overpekt
70039 2010	1	S . 5 127,000	099 075	\$ 34,352 937,160	049 151	5 283,621 5 118,572	0.4 10%	5	1605 10%	\$ 5	0% C)	\$ 12,596 5 6,008	0 N 19%	\$ 11.506 5 62.049	0% 16%	5 42 154 5 135,998
2013 2037 2013	.1 4 S	\$ 122,000 5 163,000 5 162,000	550 10% 50%	\$ 1,176,086 \$ 299,000 5 239,000	2549 1574 1076	\$ 283,407 \$ 8,188,879	.0% .2%	\$ 100,090 \$ 3,373,870	10% 750 6%	\$ 880,000 \$ 300,000 \$ 225,000	10% 10% 30%	\$ 13,992 \$ 10,000 \$ 30,000	19% 30% 10%	\$ 175,649 \$ 100,000	10% 10%	\$ 293,774 \$88,989
2014	9 7	\$ 162,000 \$ 163,000	30% 16%	\$ 98,000 \$ 98,000	10% 10%	š	6% 0%	\$	6% 6%	\$	0%	\$ 10,050 \$ 10,000	10% 20%	\$ 100,000 5 100,000 5 100,000	10%	\$ 80,960 \$ 40,700 \$ 40,700
7014 2017 2018	8 9 10	\$ 130,000 \$ 130,000 \$ 130,000	10% 10% 10%	\$ 98,000 \$ 98,000 \$ \$6,000	10% 10% 10%	\$	0% 0%	5 .	61% 676 65%	\$	9% 9% 9%	5 20,000 S 26,000 5 10,000	16% 16%	\$ 509,000 \$ 100,000 \$ 100,000	10% 50% 80%	\$ 57,180 5 57,180
2019 2020	11 17	\$ 130,000 5 150,000	10%	\$ 98,000	30% 10%	š .	078 078	<u>\$</u>	0% 8%	\$	09% 6%	\$ 10,000 \$ 10,000	10%	\$ \$20,000 5 \$60,000	10%	5 27.100 \$ 27,380 5 37,380
203.2 202.2 202.3	18 14 18	\$ \$30,000. \$ 122,000 \$ 122,000	10% 0%	5 98,000 \$ 98,000 \$ 98,000	10% 10% 10%	\$	0% 2% 0%	<u>\$</u> :	0% 0% 0%	\$ ·	956 936 034	5 10,000 \$ 10,000 5 10,000	10% 10% 10%	\$ 160,000 5 160,000 5 100,000	10% 10% 10%	\$ 97,126 \$ 35,080
2024 2025	16 17	\$ 127,000 \$ 172,000	0% 0%	\$ 58,000 \$ 98,000	20% 10%	\$. \$.	649 696	Š	0% 0%	\$	0% (2%	\$ 10,000 \$ 30,000	10% 10%	\$ 100,000 \$ 100,000	10% 10%	5 35,060 \$ 15,850 \$ 36,980
2027 2027	38 29 20	5 127,000 5 127,000 5 132,000	0% 0%	000,88 000,88 000,88	30% 50% 50%	\$	9% 6% 8%	\$.	0% 0%	5	0% 6%	\$ 10,060 \$ 10,060 \$ 10,000	10% 10%	\$ 100,000 \$ 100,000 \$ 160,000	10% 10% 10%	\$ 35,080 \$ 35,080 \$ 33,080
2029 7030	21 22	5 122,000 5 122,000	0% 59 0	\$ 95,000 \$ 98,000	10% 10%	š : S -	844 0%	\$.	958 014	<u>\$</u>	0% UMS	5 10,090 5 10,000	10% 10%	5 100,000 5 100,000	10% 10%	\$ #5,000 5 85,080
2031 3013 2083	23 24 75	\$ 132,000 \$ 322,000 \$ 12:000	0% 0% 0%	\$ 98,000 5 98,000 \$ 98,000	10% 10% 10%	3 5	9% 0%	\$ 5	0% 0%	\$	0% 0% 0%	\$ 10,000 \$ 10,000 \$ 10,000	10% 10%	5 100,000 \$ 100,000 \$ 100,000	10% 10%	\$ 35,080 \$ 35,080 \$ 35,080
20,34 20,35	26 27	\$ 122,000 \$ 122,000	0% 5%	5 98,00G 5 98,080	10% 10%	5 \$	9% 0%	5 :	0% 0%	5	036 096	5 10,000 5 10,000	10% 10%	\$ 100,000 \$ 160,000	30% 10%	5 35,080 \$ 35,080
2039 2039 2038	29 30	\$ 122,000 5 122,000 \$ 122,000	0% 0%	\$ 98,000 \$ 98,000 \$ 93,000	10% 10% 16%	5	0% 0% 6%	\$	0% 0% 0%	3 3	0% 0% 0%	\$ 10,000 \$ 10,000 \$ 20,000	10 X 10 X	5 100,000 \$ 100,000 5 100,000	10% 10% 10%	\$ 35,080 5 35,080 \$ 85,080
2099 2040	83 85	\$ 1,72,000 \$ 5,22,000	046 096	5 98,000 5 98,050	10%	5	9% 9%	<u>.</u>	9% 0°	5	0% 9%	5 10,000 5 10,000	10% 10%	\$ 100,000 \$ 100,000	30% 30%	\$ 85,080 5 35,080
2043 2043 2043	33 34 35	\$ \$22,000 \$ \$22,000 \$ \$22,000	0% 678	\$ 98,000 \$ 98,000 \$ 98,000	10% 10% 10%	5 . 3 .	0% 8% 9%	3 .	0% 0%	S .	055 6% 956	\$ 10,000 \$ 10,000 \$ 10,000	10% 10%	\$ 200,000 5 100,000 \$ \$00,000	10% 50%	\$ 35,080 5 86,080 \$ 35,080
2044 2045 2046	36	\$ 112,000 \$ 172,000	9% 6%	\$ 92,000 \$ 98,000	10% 10%	<u>.</u>	0% 9%	\$. 5 .	9% 0%	\$	0% 0%	\$ 10,000 5 10,000	18% 10%	\$ 190,090 5 100,000	10% 10%	\$ 35,080 5 85,080
2047 2048	58 39 40	\$ 127,000 \$ 122,000 \$ 122,600	0% 0%	\$ 95,000 \$ 36,000 \$ 98,000	10% 10%	\$ 5	0% 0%	5	0% 0%	5 -	0% 0% 0%	\$ 10,000 \$ 10,000 \$ 10,000	10% 10%	\$ 100,000 \$ 100,000 \$ 100,000	10% 10%	\$ 35,080 5 35,080 3 35,050
2049 2050	41 42 43	\$ 122,000 \$ 122,000	0% 5%	5 85.000 5 98.000	10% 10%	<u>\$</u>	0% 0%	5 . 3 .	0% 0%	\$	0% ()%,	\$ 10,000 5 10,000	16% 10%	\$ 160,000 \$ 100,000	10% 10%	5 35,080 5 35,680
205-1 205-2 205-a	84 45	\$ 122,000 \$ 122,000 \$ 122,000	0% 0% 0%	\$ 98,000 \$ 98,900 \$ 98,000	30% 30%	\$ \$	096 0%	<u>.</u>	0% 0%	\$. 3 .	0% 0%	\$ 10,000 \$ 10,000 \$ 18,000	10% 10%	\$ 100,000 \$ 100,000 \$ 100,000	10% 10%	\$ 35,080 \$ 35,080 \$ 35,080
2054 7055	49 49	5 323,000 8 222,000 6 122,000	0 × 0 ×	5 98,000 5 98,000	10%	3 ·	0% 0% 9%	\$ \$	0% 0%	\$	0% 0%	\$ \$0,000 \$ \$0,000	10% 10%	\$ 300,000 \$ 100,000	10% 10%	5 35,030 5 35,080
2056 2057 2058	49 58	5 122,000 \$ 122,000 \$ 122,000	9% 9% 0%	95,000 000,96 200,99	10% 10%	\$ \$	3/23 0%	š .	0% 0%	2 . 5 . 5 .	0% 0% 0%	5 10,000 \$ 10,000 5 10,000	10% 10%	\$ 196,000 \$ 196,000 \$ 166,000	10%	\$ 95,086 \$ 35,086 \$ 35,080
2059 2060 2061	51 52	5 122,000 5 122,000 S 122,000	0% 0% වුල්	\$ 95,000 \$ 98,000 \$ 95,000	10% 10%	5 .	3% 0% 0%	\$. \$.	0% 6% 0%	<u>s</u>	0% 0%	5 19,008 5 10,000	10% 10%	5 199,099 S 59,099	30% 10%	5 35.580 5 28,580
2062 2063	54 55	5 122,000 \$ 172,000	0%	5 508,060 5 57,060	10%	5	0% 0%	5 · .	6% 8%	\$ \$	0% 0% 6%	3 10,000 5 10,000 5 10,000	10% 1	5 50,000 5 50,000 5 50,000	10% 10%	5 29,530 5 29,580 \$ 29,580
2964 2965 2066	56 57 53	\$ 122,000 ; 322,000 \$ 122,000	0% 0% 0%	\$ 95,000 \$ 98,060 \$ 98,060	10% 10%	§ .	0% 0% 0%	•	0% 0%	<u>.</u>	0% 0%	\$ 10,000 \$ 30,000 \$ 10,000	10% : 10% :	5 50,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,000	10% 10%	5 29,580 5 25,586
2067 2068	58 60	\$ 722,500 122,000	0/3 0%	98,090 98,090	10% 10%	į į	0% 0%	5 ·	0% 0%	<u> </u>	9% 3%	5 10,000 5 £0,000	20% 10%	\$ 50,000 \$ 50,000	20% 20% 20%	79,580 29,580 29,570
2069 2070 2071	61 62 63	\$ 122,060 9 122,000 5 127,000	0% 0%	\$ 98,090 \$ 98,090 \$ 90,000	10% 19%	\$:	9% 9% 9%	\$	976 9%	5 ·	0% 2% 0%	5 10,000 5 10,000 8 10,000	10% (\$ 50,060 \$ 50,660 \$ 50,000	10% 20% 10%	5 19,580 \$ 29,580 \$ 29,580
2072 2073	64 65	\$ 122,000 5 127,600	0% 0%	600,89 2 000,89 2	10% 10%	\$.	0% 9%	5 -	0% 0%	5	0% 0%	5 10,000 5 10,000	10%	5 30,000 5 50,000	10% 10%	5 29,580 S 29,580
2074 2075 2076	66 67 68	\$ 122,000 \$ 172,000 \$ 127,000	0% 0% 0%	98,000 98,000 5 98,000	10% 10%	\$ 5	0% 0%	<u>\$</u>	0% 0%	S	9% 9% 9%	\$ 10,000 \$ 10,000 \$ 10,000	10% 5 10% 5	5 50,000 5 50,000 5 50,000	10%	25,549 29,580 29,580
2077 307e	f.) 70	5 172,000 5 122,000	0% : 0% ;	98,000 98,000	10% 10%	\$.	685 6%	š :	0% 03.	s L	0% 0%	\$ 16,006 10,000	10% 5	5 58,000 5 50,009	109. 10%	29,580 29,580
2079 2081	71 73 73	\$ \$22,000 222,000 121,000	0°C 3	5 500,00 5 98,000 5 98,000	10% 10%	<u>}</u>	0% 0%	5	0% 0%		0% 0%	\$ 10,000 \$ 10,000 \$ 10,000	10% 5 10% 5	\$0,000 \$0,000 \$0,000	10% 10%	5 29,580 5 29,580 5 29,580
2983	24 25	5 122,000 122,000	6% 0%	98,000 98,000	10% 10%	\$ \$	১% গুণঃ	S -	0% 0%		0% 0%	\$ 10,000 \$ 10,000	10% 5	\$0,000 50,000	16% 10%	29,580 28,580
2084 2085 2086	76 77 72	5 122,000 5 122,000 5 122,000	0% 5 0% 5	900,89 400,79 600,56	10% 10%		0% 0%		0% 0%	5	0% 0%	5 10,000 5 10,000 5 10,000	10% S 10% S	\$ 50,000 \$6,000 \$0,000	10% 10%	29,580 29,580 79,580
	75 80	9 127,000 127,000	0% (98,060 58,000 96,900	10% 10%		9x 9%	5 .	0%		0%	10,000	10% §	80,000 080,00	10% 10%	29,580 29,580
	81 83	\$ 122,000 \$ 422,000 \$ 122,000	0% 5 0% 5 0% 5	98,090 98,090 98,000	10%		0% 0%		0% (0% (0% 8%	10,000 10,000 10,000	10% S 10% S	50,060 50,000 50,000	10%	79,580 25,395 29,580
2093	84 15	122,000 122,000	0%) 5 0%) 5	98,060 98,060	10%		Cris:		9%) 8%)		0% 0%	10,000 5 10,000	10% S	50,000 50,000	20% 10%	29,580 29,580
7995 2096	27 32 32	122,000 122,000 127,000	956 S 026 S 976 S	91,000 36,000 96,000	10% 10% 10%	5	0% 0%	5	0% 5 0% 5		9% 0%	10,000 80,000 69,000	20% S 20% S 10% S	50,040 50,000 50,000	20% 20%	79,580 29,580 29,560
2057 209a	90 91	122,000 122,000 122,000	054 S 044 S 04 S	900,58 000,88 000,38	10% 1 10% 1 10%		on.		6% 5		0% 0%	10,000 10,000	10% S 20% S 10% S	\$0,000 \$0,000	10% 10%	29,580
7106 2101	97 3 93 3	192,600 131,000	U% 5 0% 5	98,000 98,000	10% (10% (0% 0% 0%		9% S		6% 6% 0%	10,000 10,000 10,000	10% 5 10% 5	\$6,000 \$0,000 \$9,000	10% 10% 10%	19580 29580 39580
2197 2103	94 3 95 3	322,000 192,000 322,006	0% 5 690 5	98,000 98,000 98,000	16 e 1		0% 0% 0%		6% 0% 0.8		0% :	10,000 10,000 10,000	10% 5 10% 5	58,000 \$0,000	10%	29,530 29,550
2106 2106	97 98	112,000 127,000	099 S	98,000 000,88	30% 36% 5 10% 5		98 6%		0% 5 0% 5		9% 9% 9%	10,000	10% 5 10% 5	\$0,000 \$0,000 \$9,000	10% 10%	,9,580 29,580 29,550
2108	99 300 101		6% 5 0% 5	000,58 000,88 000,88	10% S		0% 0% 9%	-	0% 5 0% 5		0% : 0% :	10,000 16,000	10% 5 10% 5 10% 5	50,000	10% (25,580 29,550
	تلنت			98,050	لتنتث				474.3		420[10,000	10,000	50,000 }	19%	29,580 }

Appendix A

Notes and Calculations

Appendix A - Notes and Calculations MLC Site 1010 - Former Inland Fisher Guide Facility Cost Summary 2/4/2011

Task Column From Estimate	Basis	Assumptions
Stormwater and Groundwater Treatment and Dischange	GM - REALM Project Summary Form O&M 11/6/2007 and Discussion with O'Brien &Gere (OBG) Project Manager	Stormwater and groundwater O&M cost from REALM Project Summary form. Future cost assumes system upgraded to handle 10 gpm increased groundwater flow and additional mass load of VOCs from 1,800 ft recovery trench starting in 2013, with mass load and groundwater infiltration decreasing after building sewers removed or sealed in 2017 and return to steady state by 2020
Surface Soil IRM	regionate Dine zinix and i dezacción tarn	Assumes excavation of approximately 20 cy and offsite disposal vs. reuse
Ås-Needed IRMs		Included an average expense of \$100,000/yr through 2059 based on historic expenses and \$50,000/yr through 2109.

Task	Cost
Stormwater/Groundwater Treatment	\$12,408,000
Vapor Intrusion Mitigation Complete	\$12,043,604
RI/FS and Risk Assessment Slurry	\$8,813,875
Wall and Collection Trench	\$2,472,670
In-Situ VOC Treatment	\$1,205,000
Landfill Cap OMM	\$1,011,506
As-Need IRMs and Removal of Impacted Soil	***************************************
Under Slabs	\$7,499,999
Total Estimated Baseline/Engineering Cost:	\$45,454,655

Motors Liquidation Corporation - Former Inland Fisher Guide Facility Storm Water and Groundwater Treatment and Discharge 2/4/2011

Site 1010 On-Site Storwater and Groundwater Treatment Estimat	e				
Items	Unit	Qty	Cost	*********	Estimated Cost
2010 - 2011 Annual Flow and Operating Cost - Estimated (i)	Gallons	3,000,000 \$	0.015	\$	45,000.00
Engineering, Monitoring, DMRs	LS	1 \$	70,000	\$	70,000.00
Maintenance	LS	1 \$	7,000	\$	7,000.00
		T	otal Annual	S	122,000.00
		Total 2010 th	rough 2011	S	244,000.00
2012-2015 Annual Flow and Operating Cost - Estimated (2)	Gallons	8,500,000 \$	0.010	\$	85,000.00
Engineering, Monitoring, DMRs	LS	1 \$		Ś	70,000.00
Maintenance	LS	1.5	7.000	\$	7,000.00
		-	otal Annual	-	162,000.00
		Total 2012 th		-	648,000.00
2016-2021 Annual Flow and Operating Cost - Estimated (1)	Gallons	3,666,666 \$	0.015	\$	55,000,00
Engineering, Monitoring, DMRs	LS	1 \$		\$	70,000.00
Maintenance	LS	1 \$	5,000	\$	5,000,00
		-	otal Annual	S	130,000.00
		Total 2016 th	rough 2021	\$	780,000.00
2022 - 2109 Annual Flow and Operating Cost - Estimated (1)	Gallons	3,000,000 \$	0.015	\$	45.000.00
Engineering, Monitoring, DMRs	LS	1 \$	70,000	Š	70,000.00
Maintenance	LS	1 \$	7.000	\$	7,000.00
			tal Annuel		122,000.00
		Total 2022 th			10,736,000.00
			Total Cost	\$:	12,408,000.00

Notes:

⁽¹⁾ Based on 2009 Operating expense and annual totalized flow of 2,840,039 gallons

⁽²⁾ Based on increased flow of 10 gpm, running at 70% up time, until after thinner area collection system terminated, and costs revert to 2010 estimated

Vapor Intrusio	ation Corporation - Former Inl n Mitigation Cost Estimate	and Fisi	ser Guade F	acility			
2/4/2011	William And Waterland						
Vapor Intrusion	Mitigation Pilot System - Cost Estin	nate (Drif	led Option)				
***************************************		.QTY	Unii	UNIT COST	TOTAL COST	***************************************	None
	CONSTRUCTION COSTS						
Building Pent		1	1.8	\$3,020	\$3,220		and the same of the contract of
meant wheels	Sub-tlab piping and blower	•	I.S	\$104,372	\$104,372		Pripe installation by trenching.
							install thriver fan at traif curb fan: Tekil of trench spoils
Install discuss		,	1.8	\$1,930	\$ (.950		and seems of denial appears
	down menituring (illinuncent)	3	£S.	\$15.180	\$15,180		Quarterly, me. Web drilling Sepain
Prepare Evalue	nion Ropori	l	LS	\$6,555	\$6,555		• ,
Sampling							
	Exhaust sampling communication lesting	9	i>.	\$1,200	556) (80 x)		i sample monthly
	indoor Air	54	£A	\$800	\$43,200		6 ระเทษาย์ประวาชคดาสิริ
OTAL DIRECT C	APITAL COST (rounded)				\$185,000		
	Engineering Design (18% of DCC)			35%	\$29,750		
OTAL ESTIMATI	ED CAPITAL COST (counted)					\$213,060	
filinies and O&N (9 months)				\$103,660		_
OTAL ESTIMATI	ED Ö&M (rounded)					V193,898	
intal Estimate						\$316,600	
iub-Siab Depres	úrization System	************	***************************************	***************************************	***************************************	•••••	
ike:	GNI Former JFG Facility	***************************************	***************************************	************	Discription:	Bustollations of houseonts	(nb-stab) depressionassion:
wate:	Swacusc. NY Conceptud: Estimate (J9% to +80%) 2010					sjotem in Retprint of in Uning transh installation	son portion of Manufacturing Building
(EM		UNIT	ESTIMATED GUANTITY	ESTIMATED UNIT COST	ESTIMATED COST		NOTES
irect Capital Costs							
1) Fleifith and i	salety	28	į.	\$82,500	83.2,500		
					SUBTOTAL:	\$82,500	
 Vapor Batrie 	ing Mitigation System Installerian Controls sowanting	LS	1	277.0i0	\$77,060		Should be districted by the beautiful and the second
	Dispessal of concrete and soil	cos.	1143	\$221	\$252,603		5800 linears B of 15-inch wide. Winch deep trembles, TSCA waste disposal to Model City
	Electrical Carbon Filter: & Piping	LS LS	1	\$312,000	\$212,000 \$110,000		As existing 460 volt Aphase power panel is available for person supply near all fans. Six calculat westment carbon units, 6 equipment pads, & 400 H of 6° PVC Pipe.
	System installation	1.8	1	\$900,000	\$900,000		From No.2508 PUHI and 1 No.1204PDB carbon beds to treat Salt religiously scream Below, slight perfectable plays in A inches in distinctor. All above ground treader and enhant stack, piping is 6 inch, schedule 40 PSC 3720 sect of 6 inch inchedule 40 PSC pipe. Tracony flour-Stap biomeric.
					SUBTOTAL.	\$1.551,603	
3) Air Permitti	Modeling	1,8	1	\$2,000	\$2,000		Serverang level medeling, assuming raction offices treatment
	Ponii	LS	1	\$3,000	SUBTOTAL:	\$5,900	
4) Oldkid Plan	3	LS	ł	\$20,000	S20,600 SCHTOTAL:	\$20,400	
			тот	AL DIRECT CA	PITAL COST:	\$1,659,193	
direct Capital Cost	5	***********					
	Pe of Direct Capital Cours)	ĹS	i	\$182,501.33	-\$183,503		Clerige, vocadination, IRM work plan togeneouing completion report
					SUSTOTAL:	\$182,591	
			TOTA	e capytae co	SES (rounded):	\$1,841,604	
				······································		***************************************	
existing & Malaten							
1) Sapor lateus	nince Costs ion Edonitoring and Reporting Analysis & Disables Manuferiance Labor	ts is	1. 1	510,000 55,600	210,000 95,000		One saughing, 9 locations per year.
Vapor Intrus Vapor Intrus Vapor Intrus	ion Monitoring and Reporting Analysis & Disabina Marriemance Labor ion Miligation System Operation and Maister	i.S repuc	1	55,6 0 0	55,000		
2) Vapus Intens	ion Edonitoring and Reporting Analysis & Database Marrientance abor	1.8					One sengify, 9 fections per year Assumer St. 1-kWh
1) Vapor Intrus 2) Vapor Intrus	ion Mentioring and Reporting Amilians 2. Distabling Information John for Pallinguistics System Operation and Matistor fower consumption Canden usage	i.S manue Blower	1 24	55,600 23,441	\$5,690 \$82,594 \$141,000 \$238,594		
Vapor literas Vapor literas Vapor literas	ion Monitoring and Hequering Amilione & Database Marriconauce Libbr ion Miliganion System Operation and Mainter Town consumption Contan wage	i.S manue Blower	1 24	55,600 23,441	\$5,900 \$82,594 \$131,000 \$238,594 \$339,980 \$97,598	\$478,986	Accounts S0.11-kWl: First 2 Years
Super intrus Vapor intrus	ion Monitoring and Henoring Amilyans & Database Matricus accel- ation Miligation System Operation and Matricer baser consumption Carbon wage. Amount Coset for First 2 Years Rounded:	i.S manue Blower	1 24	55,600 23,441	\$5,600 \$82,594 \$141,000 \$238,594 \$239,880 \$98,660	\$478,986 \$9,408,088	Assumes \$0.11-kWh
Super Intrus Vapor Intrus	ion bilentering and Heporting Amilians & Danibas Marnienance Liber for Editinated System Operation and Mainten Content unsup Annual Cost for First 2 Years Rounded	i.S manue Blower	1 24	55,600 23,441	\$5,900 \$82,594 \$131,000 \$238,594 \$339,980 \$97,598	\$478,986	Accounts \$0.1 bkWls First 2 Years

- A contespond and None.

 Contest backet on sub-conducted page installation
 Page installation by bland bare directional delining
 Dense Barour usage rates for declaration to bound on Overedage (County, NY state)
 A Species to be displayed a flow Model Cele security (Telepas westerood will not be respected these on 200,000 against vapon concentration)
 Biostolage to be less than 1 points per front TCC, Offices westerood will not be respected these on 200,000 against vapon concentration)
 Process to sentiables weeting Doct in fine formation
 Dense to sentiables weeting Doct in fine formation
 Uncertainty of the perfect of the sentiable o

Motors Liquidation Corporation - Former Inland Fisher Guide Facility Completion of Onsite RI/FS and Risk Assessment 2/4/2011

ems	Unit	Qty	Cost	Estimated Cost
ork Plan		•		
Senior Advisor	HR	100	\$225	\$22,500
Principle Sci/Eng	HR	160	\$200	\$32,000
Senior Sci/Eng	HR	400	\$175	\$70,000
Project Sci/Eng	HR	300	\$135	\$40,500
Staff Sci/Eng	HR	500	\$100	\$50,000
Sci/Eng	HR	300	\$80	\$24,000
Technician	HR	300	\$65	\$19,500
Designer	HR	120	\$65	\$7,800
Project Assistant	HR	120	\$ 55	\$6,600
Misc Expenses	LS		\$7,000	\$7,000
			On-site Total	\$279,900
		Rounded	On-site Total	\$280,000

18	Unit	Qty	Cost	Estimated Cost
Senior Advisor	HR	160	\$225	\$36,000
Principle Sci/Eng	HR	180	\$200	\$36,000
Senior Sci/Eng	HR	320	\$175	\$56,000
Project Sci/Eng	HR	450	\$135	\$60,750
Staff Sci/Eng	HR	550	\$100	\$55,000
Sci/Eng	HR	500	\$80	\$40,000
Technician	HR	500	\$65	\$32,500
Designer	HR	240	\$65	\$15,600
Project Assistant	HR	240	\$5 5	\$13,200
Misc Expenses	ĹS	1	\$20,000	\$20,000
			Off-site Total	\$365,050
		Rounded	\$365,000	
			RI/FS Total	\$645,000

Allowance for off-site remediation of the impacted sediments in the upper portion of Ley Creek and adjacent floodplain (upstream of the Route 11 bridge to the IFG outfall), and the treed wetland along the south side of Factory Avenue, adjacent to the northwest corner of the IFG property, per the NYSDEC.

Off-Site Remediation Total

\$8,168,875

TASK TOTAL

\$8,813,875

Motors Liquidation Company - Former IFG Facility Slurry Wall and Collection Trench 2/4/2011

Sherry	\$3/a48	und	Collection	Santam
OBITTY	14.811	ang	Conection	System

System Design	1,	LS	\$215,000	\$215,000	
Direct Capital Costs					
Ground water control system					
Collection trench	1800	LF	30	Depth (ft)	
Mobilization	1	1.8	\$50,000	\$50,000	
Biosturry Trench w/ Backfill	54000	SF	\$20	\$1,080,000	1800 LF × 30 ft deep × 2.5 ft wide - includes permeable fill
Člay Cap	833	CY	\$30	\$25,000	1800 LF x 5 ft deep x 2.5 ft wide
Recycle Trench Soils	4167	CY	\$15	\$62,505	Recycle all but PCB-contaminated soils onsite
Off-Site Disposal	833	CY	\$140	\$116,667	50% of top 10-ft; as PCB contaminated
Restoration	2.1	Acre	\$1,200	\$2,520	50 ft wide
Work Platform	1800	LF	\$84	\$150,300	Soil platform
Ground water recovery pumps	3	braub	\$3,000	\$9,000	
PVC piping	1 700	1,42	\$2	\$3,400	
Slurry wall, 1,800 ft long, 33 ft deep (avg)	59400	SF	\$7	\$415,800	anunend existing soils
Montitoring Points	24	well	\$2,000	\$48,000	
Total Direct Capital Costs				\$1,963,192	
Indirect Capital Costs					
Engineering (15% Direct Capital Costs)			15%	\$294,479	
Total Estimate				\$2,472,670	
Daviers 1 on	oth Do	oth forms - Mis	dil.		

 Design
 Length
 Depth (avg)
 Width

 Trench
 1800
 30
 2.5

 Stury Wall
 1800
 33
 2.5

Motors Liquidation Corporation - Former Inland Fisher Guide Facility Surface Soil IRM and Landfill Cap OMM - Cost Estimate 2/4/2011

Surface Soil IRM	ı	Unit Lump sum	ş	Unit Cost 21,506.00	S	Estimated Cost 21,596.00
	Estimated	***************************************	***********	***************************************	*******	
Cap Maintenance	Quantity per year	Unit		Unit Cost		Estimated Yearly Cost
Mowing (6 events each year)	.6	Eveni	\$	900.00	\$	5,400.00
Asphalt maintenance	1	Lump Sum	\$	2,000.00	\$	2,000.00
Annual Cap Inspection & OM&M Report						
Project Engineer	22	Hour	\$	100.00	\$	2,200.00
Graphics/Typist	4	Hour	\$	65.00	\$	260.00
Expenses (copying/postage, etc.)	1.	Lump Sum	\$	140,00	\$	140.00
				Total/yr	s	10,000.00
				Rounded Total/yr	\$	10,000.00
				Total for 99 years	\$	990,000.00
				Total Cost	\$	1,011,506.00

Motors Liquidation Corporation - Former Inland Fisher Guide Facility As-Needed Soils Management IRMs 2/4/2011

Estimated Total for 2009	Unit LS	Qty 1	Cost 11,506	Estimated Cost \$11,506
Estimated Total for 2010	LS	3	13,049	\$13,049
Estimated Total for 2011	LS	1	175,444	\$175,444

IRM Typical Costs				***************************************	***************************************
Assume - Tenant expansion	***************************************	***************************************			
Installation of electrical and new	restrooms	3			
Subsurface work includes electric	cal condui	ts and plumb	ing		
ltems		Unit	Qty	Cost	Estimated Co
Work Plan					
Principle Sci/Eng		HR	1	\$200	\$200
Senior Sci/Eng		HR	4	\$175	\$700
Project Sci/Eng		HR	10	\$135	\$1,350
Staff Sci/Eng		HR	12	\$90	\$1,080
Designer		HR	2	\$65.	\$130
Admin		HR	4	\$55	\$220
Soil Excavation, Disposal, and Overs	ight				
Principle Sci/Eng	-	HR	1	\$200	\$200
Project Sci/Eng		HR	4	\$135	\$540
Sci/Eng		HR	80	\$90	\$7,200
Technician		HR	40	\$65	\$2,600
Expenses		LS	1	\$4,500	\$4,500
Estimated Soil Volume					
Linear Feet		LF	100	LF	
Soil Volume	3	CY/LF	300	CY	
Soil Weight	1.5	Tons/CY	450	Tons	
Excavate and Backfill		ÇY	300	\$40	\$12,000
Disposal (Hazardous Waste)		Ton	450	\$142.	\$63,900
losure Report					·
Principle Sci/Eng		HR	2	\$200	\$400
Senior Sci/Eng		ĦŖ	4	\$175	\$700
Project Sci/Eng		HR	10	\$135	\$1,350
Staff Sci/Eng		HR	20	\$90	\$1,800
Designer		HR	4	\$65	\$260
Admin		HR	4	\$55	\$220
Expenses		LS	3	\$650	\$650
•				Annual Cost	\$100,000
	Tota	l As-Needed	IRMs for 48	years (2010-2059)	\$4,800,000
us-Needed IRMS for 50 years (2166)-2109) (a	issume one-l	nalf annual co	ost from above)	
	. ,			Annual Cost	\$50,000
	Tota	l As-Needed	IRMs for 50	years (2060-2109)	\$2,500,000
				Total Cost	\$7,499,999

Motors Liquidation Corporation - Former Inland Fisher Guide Facility Subsurface Insitu VOC Source Treatment - Thinner and Larger TCE Area Cost Summary 2/4/2011

Syracuse IFG Site Budgetary Source Treatment Estimate	Estimated Cost for TCE + Petroleum Source Areas
Full Scale Injection Design and Permitting	\$48,000
Injection Trailer Build	\$60,000
Injection Test	\$48,000
Full Scale Well Drilling	\$143,000
Chemical Injections	\$861,000
Injection Monitoring	\$45,000
TOTAI	(1) \$1,205,000

Notes:

(1) Total estimate includes engineering, remediation and performance monitoring and assumes 1 ISCO Injection in 100 percent of thinner and TCE source area injection wells, and second injection in 75% of the injection wells

Total Treatment Volume (TCE + Petroluem Area)	21,667 cyds
TCE Area Only	15,556 cyds
Petroleum (Thinner Area) Only	6,111 cyds
Year 1 (2011):	\$680,000
Year 2 (2012):	\$300,000
Year 3 (2013):	\$225,000

Motors Liquidation Corporation - Former Inland Fisher Guide Facility Subsurface Insitu VOC Source Treatment - Thinner and Larger TCE Area Build System Cost

2/4/2011

Full Scale Injection System Build

- I Labor includes all labor to order parts and assemble trailer. Assumes trailer is built at the shop, not at the site so that expenses are not required. Labor to assemble assume 2 techs for 2 weeks. Labor to prep O&M manual.
- 2 Materials

All materials are required to be stainless steel or plastic for chemical compatibility.

Trailer will be utilized for all ISCO locations across the site

Trailer built to inject into up to 10 wells at a time

<u>tems</u>	linit	<u>Ot</u>	Cost	Extension
. Labor				
Senior Advisor	HR	2.	\$225	\$450
Principle Sci/Eng	HR	4	\$200	\$800
Senior Sci/Eng	HR	8	\$175	\$1,400
Project Sci/Eng	HR	40	\$135	\$5,400
Staff Sci/Eng	HR	4()	\$100	\$4,000
Sci/Eng	HR	80	\$80	\$6,400
Technician	HR	160	\$65	\$10,400
Designer	HR	40	\$ 65	\$2,600
Project Assistant	HR	8	\$50	\$400
. Materials				
Tanks with containment	LS	1	\$1,178	\$1,178
Compressor	EACH	1	\$400	\$400
Compressor Filters and Fittings	LS	1	\$117	\$117
Air Hose	LS	1	\$79	\$79
Chem Res Diaphragm Pump	EACH	2	\$1,031	\$2,062
Rubber Injection Hose	FT	1500	\$3	\$4,680
2" Sch 80 Pipe	FT	100	\$4	\$381
2" Sch 80 Tee FPT	EACH	10	\$29	\$290
1/2" Sch 80 Tee FPT	EACH	1.0	\$9	\$90
2" Sch 80 Female Adapter	EACH	10	\$29	\$285
2"x1/2" Sch 80 Reducer	EACH	10	\$18	\$179
1/2" Sch 80 PVC	FT	100	\$1	\$88
1/2"x1/4" Sch 80 Reducer	EACH	10	\$10	\$101
1/2" Sch 80 Ball Valve	EACH	20	\$19	\$374
1" SS Camlock Male	EACH	3	\$58	\$173
1" SS Camlock Female	EACH	3	\$71	\$214
1" SS Union	EACH	3	\$27	\$81
1" SS Pipe	FT	10	\$18	\$181
1" SS Nipples	EACH	10	\$14	\$145
1" SS Tee	EACH	2	\$17	\$34
1" SS Elbow	EACH	10	\$11	\$114
1" SS Hose Barbs	EACH	10.	\$27	\$265
I" SS Check Valve	EACH	1	\$147	\$147
1" SS Ball Valves	EACH	10	\$56	\$556
1/2" Needle Valves	EACH	10	\$125	\$1,255
1" x 1/4" SS Reducers	EACH	20	\$6	\$127
Pressure Gauges	EACH	20	\$17	\$336
Flow Meters	EACH	10	\$106	\$1,060
Totalizers	EACH	10	\$296	\$2,964
Trailer- 7'x16' Flatbed	EACH	î	\$3,500	\$3,500
Miscellaneous Parts	1.5	1	\$500	\$500
Freight and Tax for Materials	LS	i	\$3 ,513	\$3,513
	Expenses, Subs. and		5%	\$1,300
wask Cp or	r Expenses, 300s, and Project Ms		5%	\$1,300 \$1,300

Mark Up on Expenses, Subs, and Materials 5% \$1,300
Project Management 5% \$1,300
Full Scale Injection System Build Subtotal \$60,000

Motors Liquidation Corporation - Former Inland Fisher Guide Facility Subsurface Insitu VOC Source Treatment - Thinner and Larger TCE Area Cost Details 2/4/2011

Full Scale Injection Design and Permitting

Includes the labor to design of a full scale ISCO injection using persulfate with ambient activation Treatment objective - reduce TCE and Petroleum Hydrocarbon mass

Assumes access to the Site is granted

Items		Init	Qty	Cost	Extension
Labor		***************************************	***************************************	***************************************	***************************************
Senior Advisor	Į	1R	2	\$220	\$440
Principle Sci/Eng	J	-IR	20	\$190	\$3,800
Senior Sci/Eng	J	IR	40	\$160	\$6,400
Project Sci/Eng]	IR.	160	\$130	\$20,800
Staff Sci/Eng	J.	·IR	70	\$100	\$7,000
Sci/Eng	}	łR	35	\$70	\$2,450
Technician	ŀ	1R		\$65	\$0
Designer	Ī	-IR	70	\$65	\$4,550
Project Assistant	1	I R	2	\$50	\$100
	Projection D		nagement Subtotal	5%	\$2,300 \$48.000

Injection Test

Injection test will be completed to verify injection flow rate and ROI Includes installation of 1 injection well and 3 MWs

includes installation of 1 injection well and 3 MWs	are v			
tems	Unit	Qty	Cost	Extension
Labor		•	***************************************	
Senior Advisor	HR	2	\$220	\$440
Principle Sci/Eng	HR	3	\$190	\$475
Senior Sci/Eng	HR	5	\$160	\$800
Project Sci/Eng	HR	20	\$130	\$2,600
Staff Sci/Eng	HR	70	\$100	\$7,000
Sci/Eng	HR	•••••••	\$70	\$0
Technician	HR	40	\$65	\$2,600
Designer	HR	······································	\$65	\$ 0
Project Assistant	HR	2	\$50	\$100
Lodging	DAY	10	\$150	\$1,500
Meals	DAY	10	\$50	\$500
Truck/Gas	DAY	10	\$150	\$1,500
Health and Safety	DAY	10	\$50	\$500
. Drilling			***************************************	***************************************
Driller Mob/demob	LS	1	\$1,500	\$1,500
Driller 3-man crew	DAY	2	\$375	\$750
HSA Drilling	FT	100	\$10	\$1,000
2" PVC Riser	FT	60	\$20	\$1,200
2" wire wrapped screen	FT	40	\$45	\$1,800
Drums	EACH	4	\$60	\$240
Traffic rated flushmounts	EACH	4	\$225	\$900
Well Development	HR	4	\$140	\$560
Move/decon/IDW handling	HR	4	\$350	\$1,400
Skid Steer	WK	1	\$800	\$800

2/4/2011

Subcontractor		***************************************	***************	***************************************	***************************************
Injection Contractor Mob/Demob	EA	СН	1	\$1,200	\$1,200
Injection Contractor	D/	ſΥ	3	\$3,600	\$10,800
Other		***************************************	***************************************	***************************************	······································
Tracer	L	3	1	\$500	\$500
Data Loggers	EAG	CH	3	\$600	\$1,800
Field Kits	Li	3]	\$1,000	\$1,000
	Tark Up on Expenses, Subs,	and Mat	erials	5%	\$2,200
	Project Injection	Manage Test Sul		5%	\$2,173 \$48,000

Full Scale Well Drilling

1 Labor and expenses include oversight of injection well installation (geo) and development (tech), No split spoon sampling included

2 Drilling

The TCE treatment area is: $20,000 \text{ ft}^2$ The petroleum treatment area is: $9,500 \text{ ft}^2$ Total # of injection wells (both areas): 65 wellsInjection volume/well (petroleum area): 5076 gal

Injection volume/well nest (TCE area): 5,077 gal Treatment interval: 15 ft

Estimated ROI: 12 ft Flow Rate/well nest: 2 gpm

Drilling rate: 120 ft/day Mobile porosity 0.1

TCE area injection wells are 2" dia with 10' SS screens in TCE area, nested wells set in same borehole

Petroleum injection wells are 2" diameter with 5' SS screens

1 hr/well for well development and 55 gal/well purge water and decon water

I hr/well to move/decon/IDW handling

No performance monitoring wells included

3 Other

Soil and water drum disposal assumes non-haz

PID rental for drilling (not needed for well development)

Survey new injection and monitoring wells

Items	Unit	Qty	Cost	Extension
. Lahor		***************************************	***************************************	•
Senior Advisor	HR	1	\$220	\$220
Principle Sci/Eng	HR	3	\$190	\$570
Senior Sci/Eng	HR	5	\$160	\$800
Project Sci/Eng	HR	10	\$130	\$1,300
Staff Sci/Eng	HR	40	\$100	\$4,000
Sci/Eng	HR	***************************************	\$70	\$0
Technician	HR	65	\$65	\$4,225
Designer	HR		\$65	\$0
Project Assistant	HR	-2	\$50	\$100
Lodging	DAY	10	\$150	\$1,500
Meals	DAY	10	\$50	\$500
Truck/Gas	DAY	10	\$150	\$1,500
Health and Safety	DAY	10	\$50	\$500
. Drilling		***************************************	***************************************	
Driller Mob/demob	LS	1	\$1,500	\$1,500
Driller 3-man crew	DAY	10	\$375	\$3,750

2/4/2011

Full Scale	Well Drilling		\$143,000	
Mark Up on Expens	Project Man	agement	5% 5%	\$6,000 \$6,484
Survey new wells	LS	1	\$500	\$500
WL Inideator	DAY	4	\$100	\$400
PID Rental	DAY	4	\$200	\$800
Development/Decon Water Trans and Disposal	EACH	65	\$0.40	\$26
Soil Cuttings Drums Transportation and Disposal	EACH	9	\$250	\$2,250
Other		***************************************	~~~	***************************************
Skid Steer	WK	1	\$800	\$800
Move/decon/IDW handling	HR	65	\$350	\$22,750
Well Development	HR	65	\$140	\$9,100
Traffic rated flushmounts	EACH	65	\$225	\$14,625
Drums	EACH	74	\$60	\$4,440
2" wire wrapped screen	FT	975	\$45	\$43,875
2" PVC Riser	FT	325	\$20	\$6,500
HSA Drilling	FT	315	\$10	\$3,150

Chemical Injections

I Labor and expenses includes personnel to perform the injection, one engineer plus one technician.

2 Materials

Persulfate cost based on:

2.0% solution

Total volume gw in the holder
Total extraction flow rate (4wells @ 7gpm)

169,781 gal 28 gpm

Time for injection based on:

10 hrs per day

Total injection time for one injection:

56 days

	10 0490					
ltems	Unit	Qty	Cost	Extension		
. Labor		***************************************	***************************************	***************************************		
Senior Advisor	HR		\$220	\$0		
Principle Sci/Eng	HR	170	\$190	\$32,300		
Senior Sci/Eng	HR	340	\$160	\$54,400		
Project Sci/Eng	HR	340	\$130	\$44,200		
Staff Sci/Eng	HR	680	\$100	\$68,000		
Sci/Eng	HR		\$70	\$0		
Technician	HR	680	\$65	\$44,200		
Designer	HR	***************************************	\$65	\$0		
Project Assistant	HR	170	\$50	\$8,500		
Lodging	DAY	114	\$150	\$17,100		
Meals	DAY	114	\$50	\$5,700		
Truck/Gas	DAY	114	\$150	\$17,100		
Health and Safety	DAY	114	\$50	\$5,700		
Materials		***************************************		***************************************		
Sodium Persulfate	LB	55,300	\$1.35	\$74,655		
Activator	LS	.].	\$2,240	\$2,240		
Freight and Taxes	LS]	\$11,200	\$11,200		
Utilities - water	GAL	224,430	\$0.03	\$6,733		
Meters:cond, H ₂ S gas, WL, pump	DAY	56	\$500	\$28,000		
Field Persulfate Testing Kits	LS	1	\$500	\$500		
Skid Steer rental	DAY	56	\$800	\$44,800		

Paris account rout made and in the second se		0.000
Replacement part/misc supplies LS	\$2,50	0 \$2,500
		612.640
Mark Up on Expenses, Subs, and Mate	rials 5%	\$10,900
Project Managen	nent 2.7%	6 \$13,000
First Chemical Injection Subtotal (% of Injection W	ells) 100%	6 \$492,000
Second Chemical Injection (% of Injection W	ells) 75%	\$369,000
Third Chemical Injection (% of Injection W	ells) 0%	\$0
Fourth Chemical Injection (% of Injection W	ells) 0%	\$0
Fifth Chemical Injection (% of Injection W	ells) 0%	\$0

Injection Monitoring

Labor and expenses includes one monitoring event for a tech. Also includes
 labor to evaluate data, design/coordinate subsequent injections and/or final documentation report

2 Laboratory

of monitoring wells

9

of QA/QC samples

1

3 Materials

Includes all the supplies required to groundwater sample

Items	Unit	Qty	Cost	Extension
Lahor		***************************************	***************************************	***************************************
Senior Advisor	ĤR	***************************************	\$220	\$0
Principle Sci/Eng	HR	5	\$190	\$950
Senior Sci/Eng	HR	10	\$160	\$1,600
Project Sci/Eng	HR	20	\$130	\$2,600
Staff Sci/Eng	HR	20	\$100	\$2,000
Sci/Eng	HR		\$70	\$0
Technician	HR	20	\$65	\$1,300
Designer	HR		\$65	\$0
Project Assistant	HR	5	\$50	\$250
Lodging	DAY	2	\$150	\$300
Meals	DAY	2	\$50	\$100
Truck/Gas	DAY	2	\$150	\$300
Health and Safety	DAY	2	\$50	\$100
Laboratory		***************************************		
VOCs	EACH	10	\$80	\$800
Materials				***************************************
Field Kits	EACH	1	\$500	\$500
Meters: WL, pump	DAY	2	\$500	\$1,000
Pack/ship coolers	EACH	2	\$500	\$1,000
Misc. supplies (tubing, decon water)	LS	10	\$500	\$500
Mark Up on Expenses, Subs, and Materials Project Management			5% 5%	\$300 \$665
	Baseline Monitoring Subtotal Post Injection 1 Monitoring Post Injection 2 Monitoring Post Injection 3 Monitoring			\$15,000
				\$15,000
				\$15,000
				\$0
	Post Injection 4 Mo	4.7		\$0
	Post Injection 5 Mc	nitoring		S 0

Motors Liquidation Corporation - Former Inland Fisher Guide Facility Subsurface Insitu VOC Source Treatment - Thinner and Larger TCE Area Injection Details 2/4/2011

Total Treatment Area Top of Treatment Interval Bottom of Treatment Interval Treatment Thickness Estimated Mobile Porosity Total Injection Volume	TCE - Upper 10' 20,000 ft ² 5 20 15 0.1 224,430 gal	TCE - Lower 10' 0 ft² 15 25 0 ft 0.1 0 gal	Petroluem 9,500 ft ² 5 10 15 0.1 106,604 gal
Injection Well ROI	12 ft	12 ft 0 gal 0 wells	12 ft
Injection Volume per Well	5076 gal		5076 gal
Injection Wells Required (min 3)	44 wells		21 wells
Anticipated Flow Rate Per Well Wells Injected Simultaneously total Injection Flow Rate Total Time for Injection Injection time per day Total Time for Injection	1 gpm 10 wells 10 gpm 372 hrs 10 hrs 38 days	1 gpm 10 wells 10 gpm 0 hrs 10 hrs 0 days	1 gpm 10 wells 10 gpm 178 hrs 10 hrs 18 days
Persulfate Concentration Persulfate Concentration Persulfate Mass Required Activation Method	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%
	20 g/L	20 g/L	20 g/L
	37,454 lbs	0 lbs	17.791 lbs